



## Paper Review Criteria

This is a list of criteria that reviewers will be taking into account during the review of abstracts and papers for the IEHF's Ergonomics & Human Factors 2011 conference.

Initially the abstract will be scored on a basic level of 'accept as an oral presentation'/'accept as a poster'/'reject'. This judgement is made on the information given in the abstract. Therefore the abstract needs to provide enough information for the reviewers to make a decision.

### **TITLE**

Does the title accurately reflect the content of the paper?

### **ORIGINALITY**

Is the work similar to papers published by others? The work must be original and previously unpublished. The author will be asked to sign a copyright transfer form passing copyright from the author to Taylor & Francis Informa. The author must obtain permission from any other co-authors.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Are the statistical techniques appropriate, sufficient or confusing? Has there been adequate discussion of how themes and concepts were derived from the data contained in the paper?

### **PRESENTATION**

It is important that the final presentation of the Proceedings is uniform. You will be asked to present your work according to a format for which a template will be provided. Therefore before submitting the paper please check the following:

- Spelling and grammar
- The paper achieves its declared purpose
- The figures and tables aid clarity
- The paper is concise
- The paper follows a logical argument
- The conclusions are sensible
- The paper has contributed to this area of research

### **CONCLUSION**

The final part of your paper is the conclusion. The reviewer will be looking for:

- Whether the conclusions flow from the reported work
- The conclusions are consistent with the analysis of results

- Alternate conclusions are discussed and accounted for
- Theoretical and practical implications of results are adequately discussed
- The limitations of the study are noted
- Evidence for and against the submitted arguments are discussed
- There is a clear distinction between the data and their interpretation

### **ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION**

The final decision will be whether or not your paper meets the high standard set by the IEHF. You may be asked to make amendments to the paper if the reviewer feels that changes would make the paper more acceptable. If you do not agree with the reviewers comments, the Chair of the review meeting will review the paper and comments.

### **COMMENTS FOR AUTHORS**

All comments given by the reviewer will be forwarded to you.

20 August 2010

